



Hydrogeological processes and geological settings over Europe controlling dissolved geogenic and anthropogenic elements in groundwater of relevance to human health and the status of dependent ecosystem

Authors and affiliation: see list of authors

Deliverable D.7-4: Delivering of cross sections and maps of extend of selected aquifers in specific national pilot areas

E-mail of lead author: <u>d.pulido@igme.es</u>

Version: 01-02-2021

This report is part of a project that has received funding by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 731166.



Deliverable Data					
Deliverable number	D.7-4				
Dissemination level	Public				
Deliverable name	Report				
Work package	WP7, Harmonized vulnerability to pollution				
	mapping of the upper aquifer				
Lead WP/Deliverable beneficiary	BGR				
Deliverable Status					
Submitted (Author(s))	01/02/2021	All WP7 partners. Lead author Pulido, D.			
Verified (WP leader)	25/05/2021	Broda, S.			
Approved (Coordinator)	25/05/2021	Gourcy, L.			



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	DF AUTHORS							
LIST	DF FIGURES							
1	INTRODUCTION							
2	<ul> <li>METODOLOGY</li></ul>							
3	<ul> <li>APPLICATION TO CASE STUDIES.</li> <li>Pilot description: Input data for DRASTIC vulnerability maps.</li> <li>3.1.1 Inputs required to applied the proposed method</li></ul>							
4	REFERENCES 14							
APPI	APPENDIX 1: DRASTIC SUMMARY							



## LIST OF AUTHORS

Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe (BGR) – German Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources Broda, S., Duscher, K., Günther, A., Reichling, J.

Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières (BRGM) – French Geological Survey *Schomburgk, S.* 

Geologische Bundesanstalt (GBA) – Geological Survey of Austria Schubert, G., Uhmann, A., Bottig, M., Elster, D., Berka, R.

Geološki Zavod Slovenije (GeoZS) – Geological Survey of Slovenia *Cerar, S.* 

De Nationale Geologiske Undersøgelser for Danmark og Grønland (GEUS) – Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland *Voutchkova, D., Schullehner, J., Hansen, B.* 

Geological Survey of Ireland (GSI) Hickey, C., Hunter Williams, T., Bishop, H.

Geologian Tutkimuskeskus (GTK) – Geological Survey of Finland Luoma, S., Ikonen, J., Kaipainen, T.

Hellenic Survey of Geology & Mineral Exploration (HSGME) Kontodimos, K., Lappas, I.

Institut Cartogràfic i Geològic de Catalunya (ICGC) – Cartographic and Geological Institute of Catalonia Arno, G., Herms, J.I.

Instituto Geológico y Minero de España (IGME) – Geological Survey of Spain Baena-Ruiz, L., Pulido-Velazquez, D.

Institutul Geologic al României (IGR) – Geological Institute of Romania *Persa, D., Mercan, A.* 

Landesamt für Bergbau, Geologie und Rohstoffe Brandenburg (LBGR) – Brandenburg State Office for Mining, Geology and Raw Materials *Janetz, S.* 

Lietuvos Geologijos Tarnyba prie Aplinkos Ministerijos (LGT) – Lithuanian Geological Survey Arustienė, J.

Magyar Bányászati és Földtani Szolgálat (MBFSZ) – Mining and Geological Survey of Hungary *Gál, N.E.* 

Państwowy Instytut Geologiczny - Państwowy Instytut Badawczy (PIG-PIB) – Polish Geological Institute - National Research Institute Nidental, M., Jarmułowicz-Siekiera, M.



## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig 1 Flow chart of methodology (modified from Baena-Ruiz et al., 2018)	6
Fig 2 Location of the Upper Guadiana Basin (Spain) (left) and geology of the basin (right)	9
Fig 3 Historical maps of groundwater resources (mean values of the period 1974-2015)	10
Fig 4 Historical maps of vulnerability (mean values of the period 1974-2015)	11
Fig 5 Maps of potential "affected volume" due to the vulnerability values (mean values of	<sup>:</sup> the
period 1974-2015)	11
Fig 6 2D conceptual cross-sections. Mean values in the period 1974-2015 (units in m)	12
Fig 7 L_VI in the period 1974-2015 for different TV	13
Fig 8 Temporal evolution of mean L_VI in the period (1974-2015)	13



## 1 INTRODUCTION

This deliverable is part of work package (WP) 7 in the overall project HOVER - Hydrogeological processes and Geological settings **over** Europe controlling dissolved geogenic and anthropogenic elements in groundwater of relevance to human health and the status of dependent ecosystems. WP7 deals with the harmonized vulnerability to pollution mapping of the upper aquifer, with 16 member states partners.

This HOVER deliverable reports the outcome of tasks "7.4 Volumes and areas of special aquifer vulnerability to pollution". The objective of the deliverable has been to develop a method based on indices and variables to summarise vulnerability at aquifer scale. Information on the potentially "affected" volumes (where the vulnerability is over a certain threshold) is generated at different spatial scales, moving from areal maps to representative conceptual cross section and lumped indices. It needs information about the spatial distribution of the groundwater resources and the vulnerability values/classes obtained by applying different vulnerability methods (Eg. DRASTIC, COP, etc).

The sensitivity of the affected volumes to the threshold employed to define them should be also tested. The proposed indices-based method has been implemented in a general GIS tool (Baena-Ruiz et al., ur) to facilitate its application and comparison between different GW bodies and temporal periods. Impacts of potential global change (GC) scenarios (climate change and Land Use and Land Cover Change scenarios) can be also analysed. The method is a generalization of the methodology developed by some IGME researchers (Baena-Ruiz et al., 2018; 2020) to assess sea water intrusion status and vulnerability. This method has been adapted to analyse and summarise vulnerability to surface pollution.



## 2 METODOLOGY

The inputs required and the steps to be followed to apply the method are represented in Fig 1. The vulnerability assessment will require **information about** variables (to characterise the historical evolution of hydraulic head), parameters (to define aquifer geometry and hydrodynamic behaviour) that might come from direct observation (monitoring network) or other techniques (geophysical applications, etc.) and other intrinsic information depending on the vulnerability method (aquifer type, conductivity, distance from the shoreline, bicarbonate concentration).

The information generated (section 2.1) in order to summarize historical vulnerability to pollution through visual pictures and time series is, 1) maps of potentially "affected" groundwater volumes due to their vulnerability value, which is above a certain threshold, 2) 2D conceptual cross-sections (with mean area and thickness in specific dates or mean values in periods) and 3) lumped vulnerability Index to summarise the global dynamic of the vulnerability within the aquifer.





Fig 1 Flow chart of methodology (modified from Baena-Ruiz et al., 2018)

## 2.1 Historical Assessment of vulnerability

The described inputs will be employed to assess and summarise vulnerability for a specific date according to the following steps:



#### 2.1.1 Maps of potentially "affected" volumes due to their high vulnerability

In order to asses them we will need to estimate: A) Historical maps of vulnerability and groundwater volumes B) Selected threshold of vulnerability values in the aquifer C) Crossing this information we will identify maps of potentially affected volumes due to their vulnerability.

#### A. Maps of groundwater volumes and vulnerability

Fields (maps) of hydraulic head can be obtained by applying simple interpolation techniques or density dependent groundwater flow models. In case that interpolation techniques are applied, those maps will be obtained for each date with enough available information. Those fields will be defined over a mesh, whose spatial resolution should be appropriate to provide a satisfactory distributed assessment in accordance with the available data and the adopted interpolation or modelling approached. Maps of historical groundwater volumes can be obtained by combining hydraulic head maps with the aquifer geometry and the storage coefficient. Vertical aquifer geometry and storage coefficient can be obtained from previous 3D models and hydrogeological studies respectively.

Maps of vulnerability to surface pollution can be also obtained by applying different methods (DRASTIC, COP, etc).

#### B. Threshold of vulnerability

Different vulnerability values or classes can be used as thresholds to determine the volume of the aquifer potentially "affected" due to their vulnerability. For example, if we use as threshold the moderate or high vulnerability classes, the "affected zones" will be those where vulnerability is higher than moderate or high, depending on the adopted threshold.

#### C. Maps of potentially "affected" volumes due to their vulnerability

From vulnerability maps we can define the affected and non-affected volumes, taking into account the zones where the vulnerability level is above the adopted threshold. For these zones we can calculate the potentially "affected" volume from the map of groundwater volumes.

## 2.1.2 2D conceptual cross-sections: mean area and thickness. Average Increment in vulnerability

2D conceptual cross-sections orthogonal to the larger dimension within the aquifer can be deduced to summarise the mean geometry of the potentially "affected" volume (m<sup>2</sup>), which can be defined as the zones where the vulnerability is above the adopted threshold (noted as TV) for a specific date.

The mean Thickness (( $T_{L_VI}(m)$ ) and mean longitude perpendicular to the longer aquifer dimension ( $P_{L_VI}(m)$ ) can be calculated as summation of values in each cell i of the aquifer mesh where the vulnerability is greater than the threshold:

$$T_{ha\,VI}(m) = \frac{\sum V_{i(>TV_{VI})}}{\sum S_{i(>TV_{VI})}}$$
(1)

$$P_{VI}(m) = \frac{\sum V_{i(>TV_{VI})}}{T_{hg_{VI}*D}}$$
(2)

$$V_{i(>TV_{VI})}(m^3) = S_i(m^2) * b_i(m) * \alpha_i$$
(3)



#### where:

- $V_{i(>TV VI)}$  groundwater volume in each cell (m<sup>3</sup>) with vulnerability greater than  $V_{TV VI}$ ;
- $S_i$  is the surface area in the cell i with vulnerability higher than  $V_{TVVI}$ ;
- $b_i$  is the saturated thickness within the cell i with vulnerability higher than  $V_{TV VI}$ ;
- α<sub>i</sub> is the storage coefficient in the cell I;
- D is the mean longitude orthogonal to the largest dimension (m);

These cross sections and lumped index give an overview of the magnitude (per linear meter of the longer aquifer dimension) of the vulnerability at a specific time. Mean cross-sections can also be obtained to summarise the average values for a time period.

#### 2.1.3 Lumped index (L\_VI): Volume in the affected area

A lumped global value of vulnerability (L\_VI) is defined by weighting the vulnerability score in each cell by the storage (Equation 4). This weighted value of vulnerability assesses the overall vulnerability of the aquifer. A lumped affected value of vulnerability can be obtained for the different thresholds (Equations 5 and 6).

$$L_V I = \frac{\Sigma(V I_i * V_i)}{V} \tag{4}$$

$$L_V I_{High} = \frac{\sum (V I_{i(\geq \text{High})} * V_{i(\geq \text{High})})}{V_{(\geq \text{High})}}$$
(5)

$$L_V I_{Moderate} = \frac{\sum (V I_{i(\geq Moderate)} * V_{i(\geq Moderate)})}{V_{(\geq Moderate)}}$$
(6)

where:

- VI<sub>i</sub> is the value of vulnerability in each cell i;
- V<sub>i</sub> is the groundwater volume in each cell i;
- V is the total groundwater volume in the aquifer;
- $G_{i(\geq High)}$  is the value of vulnerability of each cell greater or equal to High;
- $G_{i(\geq Moderate)}$  is the value of vulnerability of each cell greater or equal to Moderate;
- $V_{i(\geq High)}$  is the groundwater volume of each cell with a value of vulnerability  $\geq$  High;
- $V_{i(\geq Moderate)}$  is the groundwater volume of each cell with a value of vulnerability  $\geq$  Moderate;
- $V_{(\geq High)}$  is the total groundwater volume with a value of vulnerability  $\geq$  High;
- $V_{(\geq Moderate)}$  is the total groundwater volume with a value of vulnerability  $\geq$  Moderate;

The intensity of vulnerability is the lumped vulnerability value in each zone for the thresholds established.



## **3** APPLICATION TO CASE STUDIES.

In this section, we include examples of results obtained in each of the described steps of the method in aquifers of the Upper Guadiana Basin aquifer.

## 3.1 Pilot description: Input data for DRASTIC vulnerability maps

The IGME case study is the Upper Guadiana Basin, which is located in the central part of Spain, in the Mediterranean region of EU (Fig 2). It is composed of eight unconfined groundwater bodies where the DRASTIC method has been applied.



Fig 2 Location of the Upper Guadiana Basin (Spain) (left) and geology of the basin (right).

The basin shows strong natural interaction between groundwater and surface water and gives rise to over one hundred wetlands that make up UNESCO's "Mancha Húmeda Biosphere Reserve". The most part of them are groundwater-dependent wetlands. Intensive groundwater withdrawal depleted the water table by more than 20 m between the mid-1970s and the first decade of the new century, although an important and unexpected recovery of the Mancha Occidental aquifer has occurred recently. In general, depth to water table is higher than 15 meters in most of the area, so the most area of the Upper Guadiana Basin has lower values of 'D'-parameter.

Rainfall is the main source of aquifers recharge. The mean annual recharge estimated varies between 45 and 70 mm/a, although there is not an agreement in these values.

The geology is complex, including detrital and carbonated aquifers and the groundwater connectivity between the Upper Guadiana's aquifer is also structurally complex. In the southern half of the Upper Guadiana Basin the aquifers are predominantly composed by limestones, with many karstified zones. Many areas in the central aquifer are formed by tertiary detrital materials. The northern aquifers are more heterogeneous. There are no large karstified areas and other formations of metamorphic materials can be found in these aquifers. The unsaturated zone is formed by poorly permeable lithologies in the northern area and higher permeability values can be found in the southern part.



The soils in the basin mainly belong to the cambisol group according to the FAO classification. It can also be found regosol and others such as luvisol and podzol in the southeast area. The soil texture in the northern area is predominantly silty-loam, whereas the soil in the southern area is composed by peat.

The area is predominantly flat, sloping gently over 150 km, from the northeast (elevation 730 m a.s.l.) to the southwest (600 m a.s.l.).

The hydraulic conductivity in the Upper Guadiana Basin takes values in all the ranges proposed for the classification of this parameter in DRASTIC. The northern area has low conductivity (below  $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$  m/s although there exists a small area with conductivity higher than  $10^{-3}$ . The central area takes values between  $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  m/s and the conductivity in the southern area is mainly in the range of  $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$  –  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  m/s.

#### 3.1.1 Inputs required to applied the proposed method

In this section, we show some examples of the historical maps of groundwater volumes/resources (Fig 3) and vulnerability (Fig 4) required to apply the method.



Fig 3 Historical maps of groundwater resources (mean values of the period 1974-2015)





Fig 4 Historical maps of vulnerability (mean values of the period 1974-2015)

#### 3.2 Maps of potential affected volumes due to their vulnerability values

Following the steps described in Section 2.1.1 of the methodology, we obtain the maps of potential affected volume where the vulnerability is above a certain threshold (Fig 5). In the historical analysis, we assumed two different thresholds, Moderate and High vulnerability. It allows us to show the sensitivity of the results to this parameter.



Fig 5 Maps of potential "affected volume" due to the vulnerability values (mean values of the period 1974-2015)



# 3.3 2D conceptual cross-sections: mean longitude orthogonal to the largest dimension and mean thickness.

Following the steps described in section 2.1.2 of the methodology, we obtain the conceptual cross section to summarise results in terms of vulnerability. They are also obtained for two different thresholds, Moderate and High vulnerability, to show the sensitivity of the results to this parameter (Fig 6).



Fig 6 2D conceptual cross-sections. Mean values in the period 1974-2015 (units in m)

#### 3.4 Lumped index: L-VI value.

Following the steps described in section 2.1.3 of the methodology we obtain the lumped index L\_VI for each aquifer considering different TV values (Fig 7). We also represent the temporal evolution of the mean L\_VI index for each aquifer to summarise the global dynamic of the vulnerability (Fig 8).





L\_VI <sub>TV ≥ High vulnerability</sub> in the affected zone

Fig 7 L\_VI in the period 1974-2015 for different TV.



Fig 8 Temporal evolution of mean L\_VI in the period (1974-2015).



## 4 **REFERENCES**

Baena-Ruiz L, Pulido-Velazquez D, Collados-Lara AJ, Renau-Pruñonosa A, Morell I., J. Senenet-Aparicio, C. Llopis-Albert, 2018. Summarising impacts of future potential global change scenarios on seawater intrusion at aquifer scale. Environmental Earth Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-020-8847-2

Baena-Ruiz L, Pulido-Velazquez D, Collados-Lara AJ, Renau-Pruñonosa A, Morell I. 2018. Global assessment of seawater intrusion problems (status and vulnerability). Water Resources Management, 32(8): 2681-2700. doi: 10.1007/s11269-018-1952-2

Baena-Ruiz L, Pulido-Velazquez D, ur. GIS-SWIAS: tool to summarize seawater intrusion status and vulnerability at aquifer scale. Scientific Programming. Under Review.

Confederación Hidrográfica del Guadiana (2009): Mejora del conocimiento hidrológico e hidrogeológico del Alto Guadiana. Clave: 04.803-246/0411. Published report, 281 p.

Confederación Hidrográfica del Guadiana (2010): Mantenimiento y actualización del modelo de simulación de flujo de la Cuenca Alta del Guadiana. Clave: 09/1.1.16. Published report, 51 p.

Confederación Hidrográfica del Guadiana (2011): Actualización modelo flujo masas de agua subterránea Cuenca Alta. Clave: 10/1.1.03. Published report, 114 p.

Confederación Hidrográfica del Guadiana (2018): Actualización y calibración del modelo de flujo de agua subterránea de los acuíferos del Alto Guadiana (FLUSAG). Ref: TEC0004594. Published report, 150 p.

Herrera, S., Fernández, J. & Gutiérrez, J.M. (2016): Update of the Spain02 Gridded Observational Dataset for Euro-CORDEX evaluation: Assessing the Effect of the Interpolation Methodology, International Journal of Climatology, 36: 900-908. doi:10.1002/joc.4391

IGME. Hydrogeological map of Spain [online] [05/06/2020]. Available in: <u>https://info.igme.es/cartografiadigital/tematica/Hidrogeologico200.aspx</u>

IGME. Lithostratigraphic map of Spain [online] [05/06/2020]. Available in: <u>http://info.igme.es/cartografiadigital/geologica/mapa.aspx?parent=../tematica/tematicossingu</u> <u>lares.aspx&Id=15&language=es#metadatos y otra informaci%C3%B3n</u>

IGN. Soil map of Spain [online] [05/06/2020]. Available in: <u>http://www.ign.es/web/catalogo-cartoteca/resources/html/030769.html</u>

IGN. Digital terrain model [online] [05/06/2020]. Available in: <u>http://centrodedescargas.cnig.es/CentroDescargas/catalogo.do?Serie=LIDA2</u>

Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino, Instituto Geológico y Minero de España (IGME) & Dirección General del Agua (2009): Encomienda de gestión para la realización de trabajos científico-técnicos de apoyo a la sostenibilidad y protección de las aguas subterráneas. Actividad 9: Protección de las aguas subterráneas empleadas para consumo humano según los requerimientos de la Directiva Marco del Agua Evaluación de la vulnerabilidad intrínseca de las masas de agua subterránea intercomunitarias. Masas detríticas y mixtas. Demarcación Hidrográfica del Guadiana. -MEMORIA. Published report, 107 p.



Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino, Instituto Geológico y Minero de España (IGME) & Dirección General del Agua (2009): Encomienda de gestión para la realización de trabajos científico-técnicos de apoyo a la sostenibilidad y protección de las aguas subterráneas. Actividad 9: Protección de las aguas subterráneas empleadas para consumo humano según los requerimientos de la Directiva Marco del Agua Evaluación de la vulnerabilidad intrínseca de las masas de agua subterránea intercomunitarias. Masas carbonatadas. Demarcación Hidrográfica del Guadiana. - MEMORIA. Published report, 145 p.



## **APPENDIX 1: DRASTIC SUMMARY**

#### Pilot area Upper Guadiana Basin (Spain) – IGME (Cell size: 100 m, Total area: 14,093 km<sup>2</sup>) DRASTIC input data specification

Factor	Title of dataset	Name of the input dataset file	Status / version (june 2020)	Data source	Methodology	Remark (optional)
D	Depth to water table	D_IGME.tiff	Final version	Data from simulation flow model (CHG) (mean of the simulated data for each grid point from 1974 to 2015)	Spatial interpolation using IDW of groundwater level data and reclassification into D index values.	-
R	Net recharge	R_IGME.tiff	Final version	Recharge time series calculated from SACRAMENTO model. Mean recharge value in the period 1974-2015.	Estimation of the mean net recharge taking into account the different hydrology cycle variables in the period 1974-2015.	-
A	Aquifer media	A_IGME.tiff	Final version	Hydrogeological map of Spain 1:200,000 (IGME)	Direct assignment of A index values for each hydrogeological unit delimitated	-
S	Soil media	S_ IGME.tiff	Final version	Soil Map of Spain at 1:1,000,000 (IGN)	Direct assignment of S index values for each soil type	-
т	Topography/slope	T_ IGME.tiff	Final version	Digital Terrain Model at 100 x 100 m cell size (IGN)	Calculation of the slope rasterfile and reclassification of values into T index values	-
I	Impact of vadose zone media	I_IGME.tiff	Final version	Lithostratigraphic map of Spain at 1:200,000 (IGME)	Direct assignment of I index values for each lithostratigraphic unit	-
С	Hydraulic conductivity	C_ IGME.tiff	Final version	Flow model at 1000 x 1000 m cell size (CHG)	Spatial interpolation using IDW of conductivity data and reclassification into C index values.	-



Pilot area Upper Guadiana Basin (Spain) – IGME (Cell size: 100 m, Total area: 14,093 km<sup>2</sup>) Spatial distribution of DRASTIC input data ratings

